

aneurysm wall, reducing the overlap while leaving the bifurcation and limbs stable within the distal aneurysm, and ameliorating the proximal displacement forces.

We have experimented with alternate designs, including locking the bifurcate portion to the tubular portion of the device, or improving the crush resistance of stents deployed within fenestrations. However, the same fundamental principles apply to any design regardless of any subtle differences. They include the following:

- (1) Ensure optimal proximal fixation (active fixation in healthy aorta).
- (2) Ensure optimal distal fixation (rest bifurcate portion on the bifurcation and secure the limbs within healthy segments of the iliac arteries).
- (3) Ensure maximal overlap between the bifurcate and tubular components.
- (4) Allow the two components to reach a minimal stressed state, mitigating the downward forces on the proximal portion of the device.

These principles are adhered to in our practice, and perhaps explain the absence of this complication in all implants after 2004.

References

- 1 Umscheid T, Stelter WJ. Time-related alterations in shape, position, and structure of self-expanding, modular aortic stent-grafts: a 4-year single-center follow-up. *J Endovasc Surg* 1999 Feb;6(1):17–32.
- 2 Liffman K, Lawrence-Brown MM, Semmens JB, Bui A, Rudman M, Hartley DE. Analytical modeling and numerical simulation of forces in an endoluminal graft. *J Endovasc Ther* 2001 Aug;8(4):358–71.
- 3 Liffman K, Sutalo ID, Lawrence-Brown MM, Semmens JB, Aldham B. Movement and dislocation of modular stent-grafts due to pulsatile flow and the pressure difference between the stent-graft and the aneurysm sac. *J Endovasc Ther* 2006 Feb;13(1):51–61.
- 4 Whirley RG, Waninger MS, Greenberg RK. Cleveland clinic stent summit 2006 discussions on clinical testing of AAA endovascular grafts. *Endovascular Today* February 2007;6(2):100–5.
- 5 Haddad F, Greenberg RK, Walker E, Nally J, O'Neill S, Kolin G, et al. Fenestrated endovascular grafting: the renal side of the story. *J Vasc Surg* 2005 Feb;41(2):181–90.
- 6 Beebe HG. Lessons learned from aortic aneurysm stent graft failure; observations from several perspectives. *Semin Vasc Surg* 2003 Jun;16(2):129–38.
- 7 Dowdall JF, Greenberg RK, West K, Moon M, Lu Q, Francis C, et al. Separation of components in fenestrated and branched endovascular grafting – branch protection or a potentially new mode of failure? *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2008;36:2–9.

R.K. Greenberg*
T.M. Mastracci
K. West

Department of Vascular Surgery,
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation,
9500 Euclid Avenue,
Cleveland, OH, 44195, USA

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 216 445 5306;
fax: +1 216 444 9324.

E-mail address: greenbr@ccf.org (R.K. Greenberg)

Available online 19 January 2010

© 2009 European Society for Vascular Surgery. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2009.11.017

Comment on “Long-Term Results using Catheter-directed Thrombolysis in 103 Lower Limbs with Acute Iliofemoral Venous Thrombosis”

Dear Editor,

I have read with interest the article published by Baekgaard et al. regarding a single center's experience with catheter directed thrombolysis of iliofemoral venous thrombosis.¹ This article demonstrates potential benefits of thrombolysis in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis, especially in the prevention of late complications. However, some additional data would have been helpful. Anticoagulant treatment may affect recurrence rate and therefore, indirectly, local complication rate. Treatment duration is a matter of debate but probably should be tailored according to patient characteristics.^{2,3} It would therefore have aided to our understanding if Baekgaard and colleagues added the information regarding their patient's anticoagulation regimens and adherence. Furthermore, graded compression stockings may have yet another beneficial effect in preventing the post-phlebotic syndrome.⁴ Report of the use of such devices in the studied population could have added more to our understanding of the actual benefits of catheter directed thrombolysis in preventing late complications of deep vein thrombosis.

Thank you.

References

- 1 Baekgaard N, Broholm R, Just S, Jorgensen M, Jensen LP. Long-term results using catheter-directed thrombolysis in 103 lower limbs with acute iliofemoral venous thrombosis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2009;39(1):112–7.
- 2 Verhovsek M, Douketis JD, Yi Q, Shrivastava S, Tait RC, Baglin T, et al. Systematic review: D-dimer to predict recurrent disease after stopping anticoagulant therapy for unprovoked venous thromboembolism. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;149:481–90.
- 3 Prandoni P, Prins MH, Lensing AWA, Ghirarduzzi A, Ageno W, Imberti D, et al. Residual thrombosis on ultrasonography to guide the duration of anticoagulation in patients with deep venous thrombosis. A randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;150:577–85.
- 4 Bates SM, Ginsberg JS. Treatment of deep vein thrombosis. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:268–77.

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2009.09.015.

I. Weinberg
Hadassah Hebrew University Medical Center,
POB 12000, Jerusalem, Israel
E-mail address: IdoW@hadassah.org.il

Submitted 30 November 2009
Available online 8 January 2010

© 2009 European Society for Vascular Surgery. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2009.12.018

**Response to comment on "Long-Term Results using
Catheter-directed Thrombolysis in 103 Lower Limbs
with Acute Iliofemoral Venous Thrombosis"**

Dear Dr Weinberg,

Thank you very much for your comments to our publication. We agree that several additional procedures could be important for our excellent results in this series, and among these the beneficial of using compression stockings and securing sufficient anticoagulation treatment. However, we doubt that these additional treatment modalities are the

main course for the success of catheter-directed thrombolysis for deep venous thrombosis in our material. The fact that the immediate thrombus removal is almost 100 % is in our mind unrelated to the factors mentioned above. In the abstract we have prescribed compression stockings and anticoagulation using warfarin for at least 1 year, and indefinitely in patients with severe thrombophilia. Approximately one third of the patients are receiving long-life anticoagulation. The long term results most logically must be due to the early thrombus removal thereby saving patency and valve function in these patients with iliofemoral deep venous thrombosis. In our experience we seldom observe spontaneous thrombolysis in patients with iliofemoral deep venous thrombosis.

N. Baekgaard*
Gentofte University Hospital,
Department of Vascular Surgery B,
Niels Andersenvej 65, 2900 Hellerup, Denmark
*Tel.: +45 39777508; fax: +45 3977 7674.
E-mail address: nibae@geh.regionh.dk

Available online 8 January 2010

© 2009 European Society for Vascular Surgery. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2009.12.019